

# Formula for Second Regularized Trace of the Sturm-Liouville Equation with Spectral Parameter Dependent Boundary Condition

Hajar F. Movsumova

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the problem generated by Sturm-Liouville operator equation with spectral parameter- $\lambda$  dependent boundary condition. The asymptotic formula for this problem is obtained by B.A.Aliyev and the formula for the first regularized trace is calculated by N.M.Aslanova. The main goal of this paper is to derive a formula for the second regularized trace of that operator. In section 2 was given the problem statement. In section 3 were noted the auxiliary facts which we have used. Finally in section 4 was derived formula for the second regularized trace of this problem. For this at first was proved that the series formed the trace formula is absolutely convergent.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Hilbert space, regularized trace, trace class operator

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 34B05, 34G20, 34L20, 34L05, 47A05, 47A10

---

## 1. Introduction

A formula for the regularized trace was first obtained by I.M.Gelfand and B.M.Levitan [1] for Sturm-Liouville differential operators. After this work many papers were devoted to study of regularized traces various differential operators. A common tool for the study of partial differential equations, integro- differential equations and infinite systems of ordinary differential-operators is the theory of operator-differential equations with unbounded operator-coefficient. The one of the main tasks in this theory is to determine the behavior of the eigenvalues and calculation of traces of the associated differential operators. A formula for the first regularized trace of the Sturm-Liouville operator with unbounded operator-coefficient was first calculated by F.Q. Maksudov, M.Bayramoglu and A.A Adigozelov [2]. Higher order regularized traces investigated for example, in [3-7]. The trace formulas for differential operators with unbounded operator coefficient are obtained in the works [2],[5-15].

In the paper [16], we considered the following spectral problem

$$-y''(t) + Ay(t) + q(t)y(t) = \lambda y(t)$$

$$y'(0) = 0, ay(1) + by'(1) = \lambda(cy(1) - dy'(1))$$

in the Hilbert space  $L_2(H, (0, 1))$ . The self-adjointness and symmetry of the operator associated with this problem were established. The asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of this problem was derived. Trace formula for the special case of this problem was calculated in [9].

In the present paper we consider an operator which different from operators in [6,7] by boundary condition only. The main goal of this paper is to calculate the second regularized trace of that operator. The asymptotic formula for this problem is obtained in [17] and the formula for the first regularized trace is calculated in [18].

## 2. Problem statement

Assume  $L_2 = L_2(H, (0, 1)) \oplus H$  ( $H$  is a separable Hilbert space). Let's denote the scalar product by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm by  $\|\cdot\|$  in the space  $H$ . The space of the vector functions  $y(t)$  for which  $\int_0^1 \|y(t)\|^2 dt < \infty$  is denoted by  $L_2(H, (0, 1))$ . The class of compact operators in separable Hilbert space  $H$ , whose singular values form a convergent series is denoted by  $\sigma_1(H)$  and is called a trace class (see [19, p.88]).

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$l[y] \equiv -y''(t) + Ay(t) + q(t)y(t) = \lambda y(t) \quad (2.1)$$

$$y(0) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$y(1) = -\lambda y'(1) \quad (2.3)$$

in the Hilbert space  $L_2(H, (0, 1))$ , where  $A = A^* > E$  ( $E$  is an identity operator in  $H$ ) with a compact inverse. For each  $t$   $q(t)$  is a selfadjoint operator-valued function in the space  $H$ . Assume operator-valued function  $q(t)$  is weakly measurable. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

1) There exist fourth order weak derivatives on  $[0, 1]$  denoted by  $q^{(k)}(t)$  which is from  $\sigma_1(H)$ . For each  $t \in [0, 1]$   $\|q^{(k)}(t)\|_{\sigma_1(H)}$  ( $k = \overline{0, 4}$ ) are bounded. Also  $Aq^{(k)}(t) \in \sigma_1(H)$  and  $\|Aq^{(k)}(t)\|_{\sigma_1(H)} \leq const$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ .

2) Let  $q(1) = q'(0) = q'(1) = 0$ ;

3)  $\int_0^1 (q(t)f, f) dt = 0$  is true for each  $f \in H$ .

In case  $q(t) \equiv 0$  one can associate with problem (2.1)-(2.3) in space  $L_2$  the operator  $L_0$  defined as

$$D(L_0) = \{Y : Y = \{y(t), y_1\} / -y''(t) + Ay(t) \in L_2(H, (0, 1)),$$

$$y(0) = 0, y_1 = -y'(1)\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$L_0 Y = \{-y''(t) + Ay(t), y(1)\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Denote the operator corresponding to the case  $q(t) \neq 0$  by  $L = L_0 + Q$ . Here  $Q : Q\{y(t), -y'(1)\} = \{q(t)y(t), 0\}$ . The scalar product in  $L_2$  defined as

$$(Y, Z)_{L_2} = \int_0^1 (y(t), z(t))dt + (y_1, z_1) \tag{2.6}$$

where  $Y = \{y(t), y_1\}$ ,  $Z = \{z(t), z_1\}$ ,  $y(t), z(t) \in L_2(H, (0, 1))$ ,  $y_1, z_1 \in H$ .

Obviousles, the operators  $L_0$  and  $L$  have a discrete spectrum. Let the eigenvalues of these operators be  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ , respectively.

### 3. Auxiliary facts

Let the eigenvalues and eigen-vectors of operator  $A$  be  $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \dots$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ , respectively.

Denote the resolvent of the operator  $L_0^2$  by  $R_\lambda^0$ . Obviousles,  $R_\lambda^0 \in \sigma_1(H)$  (It follows from the asymptotics of  $\mu_k$ ). Suppose that the operator  $A_0$  is a self-adjoint and positive discrete operator in separable Hilbert space  $H$ .  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be its eigen-values arranged in ascending order. Assume  $B$  is a perturbation operator and the eigenvalues of  $A_0 + B$  is denoted by  $\{\mu_n\}$ . Also, suppose that  $A_0^{-1} \in \sigma_1(H)$ . For operators  $A_0$  and  $B$  the following theorem is true (see [20 ,p.133]).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $D(A_0) \subset D(B)$ . Assume that there exist a number  $\delta \in [0; 1)$  such that  $BA_0^{-\delta}$  is continuable to bounded operator and some number  $\omega \in [0; 1)$ ,  $\omega + \delta < 1$ , such that  $A_0^{-(1-\delta-\omega)}$  is a trace class operator. Then there exist subsequence of natural numbers  $\{n_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  and sequence of closed contours  $\Gamma_m \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for  $N \geq \frac{\delta}{\omega}$*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\mu_j - \lambda_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \text{tr} (BR_0(\lambda))^k d\lambda \right) = 0$$

where  $R_0(\lambda)$  is a resolvent of  $A_0$ .

Note that the conditions of the above theorem are satisfied for  $L_0^2$  and  $L^2$ . That is, if we take  $A_0 = L_0^2$ ,  $B = L_0Q + QL_0 + Q^2$ , ( $L^2 = A_0 + B$ ) and  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ , provided  $L_0QL_0^{-1}$  and  $BA_0^{-1}$  are bounded. For  $\omega \in [0; 1)$ ,  $\omega < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2+\alpha}{4\alpha}$ , we will have that  $A_0^{-(1-\delta-\omega)} = L_0^{-2(1-\delta-\omega)}$  is a trace class operator. Thus by statement of Theorem 3.1 for  $N > \frac{1}{2\omega}$ , we have (see [6,p.637])

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} (\lambda_n^2 - \mu_n^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \times \right. \\ \left. \times \text{tr} [(L_0Q + QL_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)]^k d\lambda \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

#### 4. Second regularized trace of $L$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \left( \lambda_n^2 - \mu_n^2 - \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr} q^2(t) dt \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \operatorname{tr} [(L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)]^k d\lambda \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

is called the second regularized trace of the operator  $L$ . Denote it by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n^{(2)} - \mu_n^{(2)})$ . In this paper we will show later that, its value is independent of the choice of the subsequence  $\{n_m\}$ .

By virtue of lemma 3 in [20, p.132] the number of eigenvalues of  $L_0^2$  and  $L^2$  inside the contour  $\Gamma_m$  is the same for great  $m$  values and equals to  $n_m$ .

From (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \left( \lambda_n^2 - \mu_n^2 - \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr} q^2(t) dt \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \operatorname{tr} [(L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)]^k d\lambda \right) = \\ & = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \operatorname{tr} [(L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)] d\lambda - \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr} q^2(t) dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots$  be the eigenvectors of the operator  $L_0$ . The operator  $L_0 Q L_0^{-1}$  is bounded and  $(L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_\lambda^0$  is trace class operator. Eigenvectors of the operator  $L_0$  form a basis in the space  $L_2$ . From (4.2) follows that (see [6, pp.638])

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \operatorname{tr} [(L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)] d\lambda = \\ & -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda) \psi_n \psi_n)_{L_2} d\lambda = \\ & = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_m} \frac{1}{\mu_n^2 - \lambda} ((L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda) \psi_n \psi_n)_{L_2} d\lambda = \\ & \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} ([L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2] \psi_n \psi_n)_{L_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

In [18 , p.29 ] the orthonormal eigenvectors of the operator  $L$  is obtained and are of the form:

$$\psi_n = \sqrt{\frac{4x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}}} \{ \sin(x_{k,n}t) \varphi_k, x_{k,n} \cos x_{k,n} \varphi_k \}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here  $x_{k,n}$  are the roots of the following equation

$$\sin z + (z^2 + \gamma_k) z \cos z = 0, z = \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that in [17 ,p.12] this problem is studied and the following asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of  $L_0$  is obtained:

$$\lambda_{k,n} \sim \gamma_k + \pi^2 \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, n = \overline{1, \infty}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} ([L_0 Q + Q L_0 + Q^2] \psi_n, \psi_n)_{L_2} = \\ & = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \frac{4x_{k,n}(x_{k,n}^2 + \gamma_k)}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} \int_0^1 \sin^2(x_{k,n}t) (q(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k) dt + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \frac{4x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} \int_0^1 \sin^2(x_{k,n}t) (q^2(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We can prove the following lemma about the above series.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Provided that hold the conditions 1,2 and  $\gamma_k \sim gk^\alpha, 0 < g < \infty, 2 < \alpha < \infty$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (x_{k,n}^2 + \gamma_k) \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos(2x_{k,n}t) f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} \right| + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \sin^2(x_{k,n}t) g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right| < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $f_k(t) = (q(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k)$ ,  $g_k(t) = (q^2(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k)$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, denote the sums on the left of (4.7) by  $d_1, d_2$  according to their order. Integrating by parts at first twice, then four times and using the condition 2, we get

$$\int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n}t f_k(t) dt = -\frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^2} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n}t f_k''(t) dt. \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt &= -\frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^3} f_k''(1) \sin 2x_{k,n} - \\ &- \frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^4} \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k'''(t) \Big|_0^1 + \frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^4} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k^{(IV)}(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Take into consideration

$$\frac{2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\sin 2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n}}} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x_{k,n}}\right) \quad (4.10)$$

and by using (4.9), asymptotics  $x_{k,n} = \pi n + \frac{\pi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)$  (see [17, p.13]), property  $\|Aq''(t)\|_{\sigma_1(H)} \leq \text{const}$  and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{2x_{k,n} \gamma_k \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} \right| = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \int_0^1 |f_k''(t)| dt = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \int_0^1 |(Aq''(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k)| dt < \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Since  $\|q^{(k)}(t)\|_{\sigma_1(H)} \leq \text{const}$  ( $k = 2, 3, 4$ ), in virtue of asymptotics  $x_{k,n}$  and (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{2x_{k,n}^3 \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} \right| = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \left[ \frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^2} (|f_k'''(1)| + \right. \\ &\left. + |f_k'''(0)|) + \frac{1}{(2x_{k,n})^2} \int_0^1 |f_k^{(IV)}(t)| dt \right] < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Above we have used  $\sin 2x_{k,n} \sim \frac{c}{n^3}$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From (4.11) and (4.12) we have that  $d_1$  is convergent.

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \sin^2(x_{k,n} t) g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right| =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos(2x_{k,n}t) g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right| = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt - \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n}t g_k(t) dt - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \int_0^1 |\cos 2x_{k,n}t g_k(t)| dt + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_0^1 |g_k(t)| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality in virtue of (4.8) and properties  $g_k(t) \in \sigma_1(H)$ ,  $g_k''(t) \in \sigma_1(H)$  follows that series denoted by  $d_2$  converges.

The lemma is proved .  $\square$

By using lemma 4.1, prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $L_0^{-1}QL_0$  be bounded operator in  $L_2$  and  $\gamma_k \sim gk^\alpha$   $g > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 2$ . Provided operator-valued function  $q(t)$  satisfies conditions 1-3, then the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_n^{(2)} - \mu_n^{(2)} \right) &= -\frac{\text{tr}q^2(0)}{4} - \frac{\text{tr}Aq(0) - \text{tr}Aq(1)}{2} + \\
&+ \frac{\text{tr}q''(0) - \text{tr}q''(1)}{8}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

is true.

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.1 and relations (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} \left( \lambda_n^2 - \mu_n^2 - \int_0^1 \text{tr}q^2(t) dt \right) + \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_m} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \text{tr} [(L_0Q + QL_0 + Q^2) R_0(\lambda)]^k d\lambda \right) = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_{k,n}^2 + \gamma_k) \frac{4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 (1 - \cos 2x_{k,n}t) f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} + \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos 2x_{k,n}t}{2} g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right] =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_{k,n}^2 + \gamma_k) \frac{4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} + \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 (1 - \cos 2x_{k,n} t) g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right]. \quad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

At first we derive a formula for the following sum

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - 1 \right] = \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[ \frac{2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - 1 \right]. \quad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

For this we will investigate the asymptotics behavior of the following function at  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$S_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left[ \frac{2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - 1 \right].$$

Express the  $k$ -th term of sum  $S_N(t)$  as a residue at the pole  $x_{k,n}$  of some function of complex variable  $z$ :

$$P(z) = \frac{z}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z}. \quad (4.16)$$

$P(z)$  has simple poles at the points  $x_{k,n}$ ,  $(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$  and  $z = 0$ . Find the residue at the point  $x_{k,n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{res}_{z=x_{k,n}} P(z) &= \frac{x_{k,n}}{x_{k,n}^2 \cos^2 x_{k,n} \left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right)'_{z=x_{k,n}}} = \\
&= \frac{2x_{k,n}}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{res}_{z=(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} P(z) &= \operatorname{res}_{z=(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \frac{z}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z} = \\
&= \operatorname{res}_{z=(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \frac{z}{\left(\frac{\sin z}{z} + (z^2 + \gamma_k) \cos z\right) z^2 \cos z} = \\
&= \frac{\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{\sin(\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2})}{\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}} (\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2})^2 (-\sin(\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}))} = -1.
\end{aligned}$$



For the contour of integration let take the rectangle with vertices at the points  $\pm iB$ ,  $A_N \pm iB$ . Then this contour bypass the origin on the right hand side of imaginary axis.  $B$  will go to infinity and take  $A_N = \pi N$ . In this case for  $A_N$  we get  $x_{k,N} < A_N < x_{k,N+1}$ .

Obviously, the function  $P(z)$  is an odd function of  $z$ . That is why the integral along the contour on imaginary axis is equal to zero.

Let be  $z = x + iy$ . Then for  $x \geq 0$  and for large  $y$  the function  $P(z)$  is of order  $O\left(\frac{e^{2|x|(t-1)}}{|y|^3}\right)$ . In this case for the given value of  $A_N$  the integrals along lower and upper sides of the contour also vanish when  $B \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, we have the following

$$S_N(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_N - iB}^{A_N + iB} P(z) dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}}^{|z|=r} P(z) dz. \quad (4.17)$$

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_N - iB}^{A_N + iB} P(z) dz \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{A_N - i\infty}^{A_N + i\infty} \frac{dz}{z^3 \cos^2 z} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{(A_N + iy)^3 (1 + \cos(2A_N + 2iy))} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{(A_N + iy)^3 (1 + \cos 2iy)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{(A_N + iy)^3 (1 + \operatorname{ch} 2y)} \equiv L \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |L| &< \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(A_N^2 + y^2)^3} (1 + \operatorname{ch} 2y)} < \frac{2}{\pi A_N} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(A_N^2 + y^2)^3} (1 + \operatorname{ch} 2y)} \equiv \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{const}}{A_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 S_N(t) g_k(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \int_{A_N - i\infty}^{A_N + i\infty} \frac{z}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z} dz +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \quad \int_{\substack{|z|=r \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{z}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z} dz. \quad (4.20)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{z dz}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{dz}{\frac{1}{2} \sin 2z + (z^2 + \gamma_k) z \cos^2 z} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{dz}{\frac{1}{2} \sin 2z + (z^2 + \gamma_k) z (1 - \sin^2 z)} \sim \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{ire^{i\varphi} d\varphi}{re^{i\varphi} + \left((re^{i\varphi})^2 + \gamma_k\right) re^{i\varphi} (1 - (re^{i\varphi})^2)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\varphi}{1 + \left((re^{i\varphi})^2 + \gamma_k\right) (1 - (re^{i\varphi})^2)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\varphi}{1 + \gamma_k} = \frac{1}{2(1 + \gamma_k)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Take into consideration (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.21) in (4.20) we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 S_N(t) g_k(t) dt = \frac{1}{2(1 + \gamma_k)} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt. \quad (4.22)$$

For the fixed  $k$  investigate the asymptotic behavior of the function

$$T_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{-2x_{k,n} \cos 2x_{k,n}t}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}}.$$

For this consider the function of complex variable

$$F(z) = \frac{-z \cos 2zt}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z}.$$

This function also has simple poles at the points  $x_{k,n}$ ,  $\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $z = 0$ :

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=x_{k,n}} F(z) = \frac{-2x_{k,n} \cos 2x_{k,n}t}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}}$$

and

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi n} F(z) = \cos(2n+1)\pi t.$$

Again take as a contour of integration the above considered contour. One can show that as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (see [18 ,p.32])

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{A_N-i\infty}^{A_N+i\infty} \frac{-z \cos 2zt dz}{\left(\frac{tqz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) z^2 \cos^2 z} \sim \frac{const}{A_N} \quad (4.23)$$

from which we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 g_k(t) \int_{A_N-i\infty}^{A_N+i\infty} F(z) dz dt = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

From (4.24),we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 T_N(t) g_k(t) dt &= - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 M_N(t) g_k(t) dt + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \int_{\substack{|z|=r \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}}} F(z) dz \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$M_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \cos(2n+1)\pi t.$$

The first term in (4.25) is calculated in [18 ,p.33] and equal to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 M_N(t) g_k(t) dt = \frac{g_k(0) - g_k(1)}{4}$$

and the second term in (4.25) as  $r \rightarrow 0$  goes to  $-\frac{1}{2(1+\gamma_k)} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt$ .

Other words

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 T_N(t) g_k(t) dt = -\frac{g_k(0) - g_k(1)}{4} - \frac{1}{2(1+\gamma_k)} \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt. \quad (4.26)$$

From (4.22) and (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 (1 - \cos 2x_{k,n}t) g_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} - \int_0^1 g_k(t) dt \right) = \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k(0) - g_k(1)}{4} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left( -\frac{g_k(t)}{2(1+\gamma_k)} + \frac{g_k(t)}{2(1+\gamma_k)} \right) dt = \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k(0) - g_k(1)}{4} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k(0)}{4} = -\frac{trq^2(0)}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Here we used the following relation

$$g_k(1) = (q^2(1) \varphi_k, \varphi_k) = (q(1) \varphi_k, q(1) \varphi_k) = 0.$$

Note that in [18 ,p.33] the following is calculated

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{2x_{k,n} \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} = \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos n \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos nz f_k\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right) dz - \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos n \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos nz f_k\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right) dz \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k(0) - f_k(1)}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

From (4.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \frac{-4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} = \\ & = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma_k \frac{2x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} = \\ & = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \frac{f_k(0) - f_k(1)}{2} = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k [(q(0)\varphi_k\varphi_k) - (q(1)\varphi_k\varphi_k)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Take into consideration the following

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k (q(t)\varphi_k\varphi_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Aq(t)\varphi_k\varphi_k) = \text{tr} Aq(t),$$

from (4.29) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \frac{-4x_{k,n} \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} = - \frac{\text{tr} Aq(0) - \text{tr} Aq(1)}{2} \quad (4.30)$$

and by using condition 2) we have ( Note that in this case we consider as the complex valued function  $H(z) = \frac{-2z \cos 2zt}{\left(\frac{tgz}{z} + z^2 + \gamma_k\right) \cos^2 z}$ . The residues of this function at the poles  $x_{k,n}$  and  $\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}$  are equal to  $\frac{-4x_{k,n}^3 \cos 2x_{k,n} t}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}}$  and  $2\left(\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \cos(2n+1)\pi t$ , respectively.)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-4x_{k,n}^3 \int_0^1 \cos 2x_{k,n} t f_k(t) dt}{2x_{k,n} - \sin 2x_{k,n} + 4x_{k,n}^3 \cos^2 x_{k,n}} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 2 \left( \pi n + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \cos(2n+1)\pi t f_k(t) dt = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left( \pi n + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \sin(2n+1)\pi t f_k'(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \cos(2n+1)\pi t f_k''(t) dt = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(2n+1)z f_k''\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right) dz = \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \cos n \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos nz f_k''\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right) dz - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \cos n \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos nz f_k''\left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right) dz \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k''(0) - f_k''(\pi)}{8} = \frac{trq''(0) - trq''(\pi)}{8}. \quad (4.31)
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.27), (4.30) and (4.31) we get the formula (4.13) is true. Theorem is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] I.M. Gelfand , B.M. Levitan. About one simple identity for eigenvalues of second order differential operator. *DAN SSSR*. **88** (4): 593–596 , 1953 .(Russian)
- [2] F.G. Maksudov, M. Bayramoglu , A.A. Adigezalov. On regularized trace of Sturm-Liouville operator on finite segment with unbounded operator coefficient. *DAN SSSR*. **277** (4): 795–799 ,1984 .(Russian)
- [3] M. Bayramoglu , S.M. Ismailov. On  $n$ -th regularized trace of Sturm-Liouville equation on finite segment with unbounded operator potential. *Dep. v Az NIINTI* 10.03.87, No 694, Az 87, 17p.(Russian)
- [4] V.V Dubrovsky , A.S. Pechentsov. Regularized traces of elliptic operators of higher orders. *Differential Equations* **29** (1): 50–53 , 1993 .(Russian)
- [5] N.M. Aslanova .  $n$ -th regularized trace of differential operator equation. *Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan*, **26** (7): 27–32 ,2006.
- [6] M. Bayramoglu , N.M. Aslanova. Formula for second regularized trace of a problem with spectral parameter dependent boundary condition. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **40** (5): 635–647, 2011

- [7] H.F. Movsumova. Formula for second regularized trace of the Sturm-Liouville equation with spectral parameter in the boundary conditions. *Proceeding of IMM of NASA* **42** (1): 93–105, 2016.
- [8] N.M. Aslanova , H.F. Movsumova. Investigation of the eigenvalue distribution and trace formula of the Bessel operator equation . *ARJOM* **1** (3): 1–23 .
- [9] H.F. Movsumova. Trace formula for second order differential operator equation. *Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan* **36** (1):89–99, 2016.
- [10] N.M. Aslanova. A trace formula of one boundary value problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator equation. *Siberian Math. J.* **49**(6): 1207–1215, 2008.
- [11] M. Bayramoglu ,N.M. Aslanova. On asymptotic of eigenvalues and trace formula for second order differential operator equation. *Proceeding of IMM of NASA* **35** (43): 3–10 , 2011.
- [12] M. Bayramoglu , N.M. Aslanova. Eigenvalue distribution and trace formula for Sturm-Liouville operator equation. *Ukrainian Journal of Math.* **62** (7): 867–877, 2010.
- [13] N.M. Aslanova. Calculation of the regularized trace of differential operator with operator coefficient. *Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan* **26** (1):39–44, 2006 .
- [14] N.M. Aslanova. Trace formula for Sturm-Liouville operator equation. *Proceedings of IMM of NAS of Azerbaijan* **26** 26,(34) : 53–60, 2007.
- [15] N.M. Aslanova. Study of the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution and trace formula of a second order operator differential equation. *Boundary Value Problems 2011*, **2011**:7, 22p.
- [16] N.M. Aslanova , H.F. Movsumova. On asymptotics of eigenvalues for second order differential operator equation. *Caspian Journal of Applied Mathematics, Ecology and Economics* **3**(2), 96–105, 2015
- [17] B.A. Aliev . On solvability of boundary value problem for elliptic differential operator equation of second order with eigenvalue parameter in equation and in boundary conditions. *Ukrainian Journal of Math.* **62**(1) : 3-14, 2015.(Russian)
- [18] N.M. Aslanova, Kh.M. Aslanov. On identity for eigenvalues of one boundary value problem with eigenvalue dependent boundary condition. *Transactions of NAS of Azerbaijan* **31**(4) : 27-34 , 2015.
- [19] I. C. Gohberg , M.G. Krein. Introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-Selfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space, M .: Nauka , 1965.(Russian)
- [20] V.A. Sadovnichii , V.E. Podolskii. Trace of operators with relatively compact perturbation, *Mat. Sbor.* **193** (2): 129–152, 2002. (Russian)

Hajar F. Movsumova  
*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan*  
*E-mail:movsumovahecer@gmail.com*

Received 21 October 2016

Published 17 November 2016